

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,753	03/04/2004		Tsutomu Fujimura	249976US0	5264
22850	7590	06/30/2006		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SP 1940 DUKE S	,	CLELLAND, N	LEITH, PATRICIA A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1655	·

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Astrono O	10/791,753	FUJIMURA, TSUT	FUJIMURA, TSUTOMU		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Patricia Leith	1655			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMU. 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may cation. 18 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. 19 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. 19 period to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed NONTHS from the mailing date of this ce ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status						
2a)□	Since this application is in condition for	This action is non-final.	•	e merits is		
.	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	7.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
· · ·	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-17 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4 and 8-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	are withdrawn from consideration	on.			
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by) accepted or b) objected on to the drawing(s) be held in abe e correction is required if the drawi	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT or No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) Paper I	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTo 	O-152)		

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/18/06 has been entered.

The Examiner in this case has changed. Please note the new contact information provided at the end of this Office Action.

Claims 1-5 and 8-17 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-3 and 5 remain withdrawn from the merits as being directed toward a non-elected invention. It is noted that Applicant has deleted the elected species from the claims. Therefore, the Examiner has searched a new species on the merits: *Zizyphus jujuba*. The remainder of the species of plants pending in the claims remain withdrawn from the merits.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,753 Page 3

Art Unit: 1655

Claims 4 and 8-17 were examined on their merits.

Claim Objections

Claims 4 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 4 recites 'wherein said agent comprises of a substance' which should properly read 'wherein said agent comprises a substance'. This is considered a minor typographical error.

Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 13 recites 'wherein said substance is a part of a plant'. 'A plant' is directed toward any plant, which is much broader in scope than claim 4. It is thought that Applicant means to limit claim 13 to a part of any one of said plants of claim 4, but the Examiner is not sure.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maor et al. (US 6,248,340 B1).

Applicant has cancelled the elected species from claim 4. Thus, the Examiner has searched another species on the merits; *Zizyphus jujuba*.

Maor et al. (US 6,248,340 B1) teaches the hydrosoluble (propylene glycol) extract of *Zizyphus jujuba* fruit for use in topical creams due to its 'emollient properties'.

Maor et al. did not specifically teach the amount of solids in the extract, the amount of cream applied to the skin nor did they teach the use of the entire fruit of *Z. jujuba*.

Generally, differences in concentration will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such

Art Unit: 1655

concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Variations of components in cosmetic compositions were well known in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have modified the proportions of solid ingredients in the cream disclosed by Maor et al. in order to optimize the texture of said cream. Such variations in cosmetic ingredients is considered routine optimization of result effective variables; conventional practice in the art of pharmacology. Further, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to vary the amount applied to the skin in response to the condition of the skin; i.e., one would be motivated to apply a large amount of cream to the skin if the skin was very dry and cracked, and a lesser amount if the skin is less dry and cracked.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the fruit of Z. jujuba in a concentrated or macerated form to apply to the skin because it was known that the fruit of Z. jujuba contained emulsifying properties. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation that the fruit of the plant would have been advantageous for use in a skin-care product such as a lotion or a cream. It is noted also that claims 13 and 8 limit claim 4 to wherein the substance is or comprises a 'part of the/a plant'. Maor et al. clearly make obvious these claims because the extract of Z. jujuba fruit would necessarily contain a 'part of' Z. jujuba fruit.

Art Unit: 1655

It is deemed that topical application of Z. jujuba fruit and/or extract would have intrinsically enhanced the expression level of Rho kinase or myosin light-chain kinase because these products are the same products described by the claims as well as the Specification (specifically, the Specification teaches that the propylene glycol extract of Z. jujuba (inter alia) contains the active ingredient which manifests the enhancement in the expression level of Rho kinase or myosin light-chain kinase – see page 10, Instant specification).

Page 6

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

No Claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Leith whose telephone number is (571) 272-0968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,753 Page 7

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia Leith
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1655

June 19, 2006